

Fire Risk Assessment

Prepared for and on behalf of London Borough of Lambeth

Address:

10 – 11 Coburg Crescent

Streatham Hill

SW2 3HS



Date: 20/04/2017

Assessor: David Dexter

Signature:

Building Reference: STR005208

File Reference:

SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT AND METHODOLOGY

A fire risk assessment is an organised and methodical look at the premises/building, the activities carried on there and the likelihood that a fire could start and cause harm to those in and around the premises. The aims of this fire risk assessment are:

- To identify the fire hazards.
- To reduce the risk of those hazards causing harm to as low as reasonably practicable.
- To decide what physical fire precautions and management arrangements are necessary to ensure the safety of people in and around the building if a fire does start?

As London Borough of Lambeth employs five or more people, then the significant findings of the fire risk assessment, the actions to be taken as a result of the assessment and details of anyone especially at risk must be recorded.

This assessment has been carried out to satisfy the requirements of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order, 2005.

In order to carry out this assessment London Borough of Lambeth have used the professional expertise and judgement of London Borough of Lambeth H&S Executive, and guidance contained in Publicly Available Specification (PAS 79: 2012) and Fire Safety Guidance documents issued by H.M Government. The recommendations made represent an assessment of the minimum standards considered for the safety of all persons frequenting properties solely or partly controlled by London Borough Lambeth. It should be borne in mind that an assessment is open to individual interpretation.

Information for the completion of this risk assessment was obtained by a physical inspection of the workplace/premises, associated work areas and examination of records and discussions with members of staff.

Note: Where the premise is put to residential use the assessment will be carried out on common parts of the building only. It is considered that flat entrance doors form part of the protection to the common escape route, as such this assessment will consider the suitability of the door from visual perspective only.

Where actions are indicated in the assessment that concern matters relating to individual dwellings, London Borough of Lambeth has no legal obligation to fulfil the recommendations given as individual dwellings which consist of a single household fall outside the scope of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order, 2005.

The category of risk assigned to the workplace/premises is derived using the risk level estimator. However, once the recommended actions highlighted have been implemented, the category of risk can be considered to have been reduced to a tolerable level.

Assessment Review

After taking into consideration the nature and significance of the issues highlighted within this report is a requirement to establish a suitable period within which this assessment should be reviewed. Accordingly the suggested review date is detailed below.

ASSESSMENT INFORMATION SHEET			
Date of Assessment:	03/04/2017		
Date of Review:	03/04/2020		
Risk Grade:	2 (3 years)		
Fire Action Policy:	Full Evacuation.		
Description of Building:-	An End-terrace property split into GF & FF flats with direct external access		
	from street.		
Strategic housing area:-	South Area		

Premises Details

- 1. Use of Premises: General Housing needs
- **2. Brief Details of Construction:** The property is constructed with masonry walls and a flat roof. The property has 2no entrance doors at GF level which provides direct access into the GF and FF flats. There is no communal area other than an external vestibule and path leading from the pavement.
- 3. Floor Plan Layout (add / subtract as appropriate):

Floor levels	Flat Nos	No Stairs	No Lifts	Notes
0	1			
1	1			
2	1			FF flats have a second storey in roof space (loft conversion) accessed by internal staircase

4.	Total number of floors:-	3
5.	Basements:-	0
6.	Flats at ground floor	1
	level:-	
7.	Flats at upper floor	1
	levels:-	
8.	Approximate size (M²)	80m²
	per floor:-	
9.	Number of staircases:-	2
10.	Number of lifts:-	0
11.	Number of flats:-	2
		Fire Fighting Facilities
		·

	Fire Fighting Facilities			
1.	Dry Rising Main:	No		
2.	Fire Fighting Lift:	No		
3.	Lift (Fire override	No		
	switch):			
4.	Fire Fighting Shaft:	No		
5.	Fire Loses:	No Details: None		

٥.	THE LOSES.	No Details: None
		Car Parks
1	Car Bark	No

1.	Car Park:	No
2.	Туре:	N/A

	Disabled Access - Public Facilities – Vulnerable Persons – Concierge – Caretakers Accommodation			
1.	Disabled access/egress:	No		
2.	Public Facilities (community centres, meeting	No Details: None		
	rooms etc.):			
3.	Concierge accommodation.	No		
4.	Caretaker's accommodation.	No		
5.	Vulnerable Persons:	Unknown Details: N/A		

Limitations of Inspection

The inspection was external only as no internal communal areas are present.

	FIRE HAZARDS AND THEIR ELIMINATION OR CONTROL	
1.0	Electrical Sources of Ignition	
1.1	Reasonable measures taken to prevent fires of electrical origin?	N/A
1.2	More specifically:	
	a) Fixed installation periodically inspected and tested?	N/A
	b) Portable appliance testing carried out?	N/A
	c) Suitable policy regarding the use of personal electrical appliances?	N/A
	d) Suitable limitation of trailing leads and adapters?	N/A
	e) Are electrical intake rooms adequately secured?	No
	f) Are electrical intake rooms free from combustible materials?	N/A
1.3	Comments and hazards observed: None.	
2.0	Smoking	
2.1	The Smoke-Free (Premises and Enforcement) Regulations 2006 applies to this	
2.2	Reasonable measures taken to prevent fires as a result of smoking?	N/A
2.3	More specifically:	
	a) Smoking prohibited in the common areas of the building?	N/A
	b) Suitable arrangements for those who wish to smoke?	N/A
	c) Did this policy appear to be observed at the time of the inspection?	N/A
2.4	Comments and hazards observed: None.	
3.0 3.1	Arson Does basic security against arson by outsiders appear reasonable?	Yes
3.2	Is there an absence of unnecessary fire load in close proximity to the	163
3.2	building or available for ignition by outsiders?	No
3.3	Comments and hazards observed: None.	NO
4.0	Portable heaters and heating installations	
4.1	Is the use of portable heaters avoided as far as practicable?	N/A
4.2	If portable heaters are used:	
	a) Is the use of the more hazardous type (e.g. radiant bar fires or LPG	
	appliances) avoided?	N/A
	b) Are suitable measures taken to minimize the hazard of ignition of	
	combustible materials?	N/A
4.3	Are fixed heating installations subject to regular maintenance?	N/A
4.4	Comments and hazards observed: None	
5.0	Cooking	
5.1	Are reasonable measures taken to prevent fires as a result of cooking?	N/A
5.2	More specifically:	
	a) Filters changed and ductwork cleaned regularly?	N/A
	b) Suitable extinguishing appliances available?	N/A
5.3	Comments and hazards observed: None.	
6.0	Lightning	N
6.1	Does the building have a lightning protection system?	No :
6.2	Comments and hazards observed: No physical evidence of a lightning protect	
	Any future refurbishment schemes should consider a risk assessment under B 2.	35 EN 62305:2006 Part
7.0	Housekeeping	
7.1	Is the standard of housekeeping adequate?	Yes
7.2	More specifically:	
_	a) Do combustible materials appear to be separated from ignition	
	sources?	Yes
	b) Are common escape routes free the accumulation of combustible	
	materials or waste?	Yes
	c) Are stores provided within common escape routes?	Yes
	d) Are stores appropriately secured?	No
	e) Are common escape routes free from obstruction likely to impede	
	means of escape or fire service access	Yes

Comments and hazards observed: A storage cupboard was located adjacent to each flat entrance 7.3 door which were not secured nor had a fire door installed.

0.0	Librards Introduced By Outside Contractors and Building Works	
8.0	Hazards Introduced By Outside Contractors and Building Works	Vaa
8.1	Is there satisfactory control over works carried out in the building by	Yes
	outside contractors (including "hot work" permits)?	
8.2	Are fire safety conditions imposed on outside contractors?	Yes
8.3	If there are in-house maintenance personnel, are suitable precautions taken	V
0.4	during "hot work", including use of hot work permits?	Yes
8.4	Comments and hazards observed: Contractors carrying out work at London I	
	premises are pre-selected from an approved list. They will have undergone a	_
	process prior to being allowed to carry out work at London Borough of Lamb contractors should receive a permit to work. There should be no reliance on	•
	Lambeth staff to perform safety checks on hot works carried out by contract	_
	system employed should clearly place the onus on contractors to restore all	
	invasive work is carried out. Residents who carry out works in a property usin	
	contractor/s should under the terms of their tenancy or lease, inform LBL wh	_
	contractor/s are suitably qualified and insured and they will adhere to the sa	
	conditions expected from contractors directly working for the Borough.	
9.0	Dangerous Substances	
9.1	If dangerous substances are, or could be, used, has a risk assessment been	
	carried out, as required by the Dangerous Substances and Explosive	
	Atmospheres Regulations 2002?	N/A
9.2	Comments and hazards observed: None.	
10.0	Other Significant Fire Hazards That Warrant Consideration	
10.1	Other significant fire hazards that warrant consideration including process	
	hazards that impact on general fire precautions?	No
10.2	Comments and hazards observed: None.	
	FIRE PROTECTION MEASURES	
11.0	Means of Escape from Fire	
11.0 11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of	
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire?	Yes
	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically	
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes?	Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits?	Yes Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary?	Yes Yes Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary?	Yes Yes Yes N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits?	Yes Yes Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single	Yes Yes Yes N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed?	Yes Yes Yes N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape	Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke.	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present?	Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present? It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable arrangements	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A
11.1	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present? It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable arrangements for means of escape for disabled occupants. Set against internal housing	Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A N/A
11.1 11.2	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present? It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable arrangements for means of escape for disabled occupants. Set against internal housing policies for people with disabilities?	Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A
11.1 11.2 11.3	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present? It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable arrangements for means of escape for disabled occupants. Set against internal housing policies for people with disabilities? Comments and hazards observed: None	Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A N/A
11.1 11.2	It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable means of escape in case of fire? More specifically a) Adequate design of escape routes? b) Adequate provision of exits? c) Exits easily and immediately openable where necessary? d) Fire exits open in direction of escape where necessary? e) Avoidance of sliding or revolving doors on final exits? f) Reasonable distances of travel appropriate to the guidance given at the time of construction – if known: Where there is a single direction of travel? g) Where there are alternative means of escape? h) Suitable protection of escape routes? i) Suitable fire precautions for all inner rooms? j) Escape routes unobstructed? k) Are escape routes free from slip & trip hazards? l) Provision of smoke ventilation systems to maintain the escape routes clear of smoke. m) Are Reciprocal Means of Escape present? It is considered that the building is provided with reasonable arrangements for means of escape for disabled occupants. Set against internal housing policies for people with disabilities?	Yes Yes Yes Yes N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes N/A N/A

Compartmentation of a reasonable standard?

- a) Reasonable limitation of linings that may promote fire spread? N/A
- b) As far as can reasonably be ascertained, fire dampers are provided as necessary to protect critical means of escape against passage of fire, smoke and combustion products in the early stages of a fire?
- 12.2 *Comments and hazards observed:* It was not possible to ascertain the typical floor construction within the dwellings as individual flats were not inspected. Further investigation is recommended.

N/A

Yes

N/A

13.0 Emergency Escape Lighting

- 13.1 Reasonable standard of emergency escape lighting system provided? See below
 - a) If no, was sufficient borrowed lighting provided to meet the minimum light levels (1 lux) for permanently unobstructed escape routes as recommended by BS5266: Pt 1?

b) Was the emergency escape lighting system maintained in good working order?

13.2 Comments and hazards observed: Direct Access flats. No emergency lighting required.

14.0	Fire Safety Signs and Notices	
14.1	Reasonable standard of fire safety signs and notices?	No
14.2	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	
15.0	Means of Giving Warning In Case Of Fire	
15.1	Reasonable manually operated electrical fire alarm system provided?	N/A
15.2	Automatic fire detection provided?	N/A
	a) Throughout building communal areas?	N/A
	b) All areas being assessed?	N/A
15.3	Extent of automatic fire detection generally appropriate for the occupancy	
	and fire risk?	N/A
15.4	Remote transmission of alarm signals?	N/A
15.5	Comments and deficiencies observed – There is no internal communal areas	on this property.
16.0	Manual Fire Extinguishing Appliances	
16.1	Reasonable provision of portable fire extinguishers?	N/A
16.2	Are hose reels provided?	N/A
16.3	Are all the fire extinguishing appliances readily accessible?	N/A
16.4	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	
17.0	Relevant Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems	
17.1	Type of system:	N/A
17.2	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	
18.0	Other Relevant Fixed Systems and Equipment	
18.1	Type of fixed system:	N/A
18.2	Suitable provision of fire-fighters switch(s) for high voltage luminous tube	
	signs, etc.	N/A
18.3	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	
	MANAGEMENT OF FIRE SAFETY	
19.0	Procedures and Arrangements	
19 1	Fire safety is primarily managed by: London Borough of Lambeth Housing Managed	anagement

- 19.1 Fire safety is primarily managed by: London Borough of Lambeth Housing Management.

 In common with many large housing organisations, the functions involved with the everyday management of fire is divided between different departments. Housing Officers and Building Managers with the support of the Health & Safety Managers/Advisors undertake regular inspections of their properties and have reporting mechanisms in place to ensure effective action is taken.
- 19.2 Is there a suitable record of the fire safety arrangements?

 **Comment:* London Borough of Lambeth has set up a fire safety database to monitor the effective planning, organisation, control, monitoring and review of the preventative and protective measures required to conform to the requirements of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005

 The 'Technical Services Department' of LBL initiate and keep records for the maintenance and testing of any fire safety equipment.

19.3		riate fire procedures in place?	N/A
	-	pecifically:	
	a)	Are procedures in the event of fire appropriate and properly documented?	N/A
	h)	Are there suitable arrangements for summoning the fire and	IN/ A
	D)	rescue service?	N/A
	c)	Are there suitable arrangements to meet the fire and rescue	IN/A
	C)	service on arrival and provide relevant information, including that	
		relating to hazards to fire-fighters?	N/A
	d)		14/1
	۵,	have been evacuated?	N/A
	e)	Is there a suitable fire assembly point(s)?	N/A
	f)	Are there adequate procedures for evacuation of any disabled	
	•,	people who are likely to be present?	N/A
	Comme	nts: 'Fire Action' signs within blocks should indicate to occupiers or vis	•
		e event of fire. Responsibility for summoning the fire and rescue service	
		ts. All London Borough of Lambeth tenants have been provided with ir	
	do in th	e event of a fire. The instruction leaflets were issued in October 2009	in conjunction with
	the Lon	don Fire Brigade home fire safety campaign. Fire safety information is	also supplied online
	at https	://housingmanagement.lambeth.gov.uk/fire-safety.	
19.4	Persons	nominated and trained to use fire extinguishing appliances?	N/A
		nts: None.	
19.5		nominated and trained to assist with evacuation, including	
		ion of disabled people?	N/A
		nts: Residential dwelling blocks are considered to be unsupervised	
		st majority of the time. It is therefore unreasonable to expect the	
	-	ible person to facilitate the summoning of the fire service or	
		the evacuation if one is needed.	
19.6		riate liaison with fire and rescue service (e.g. by fire and rescue	
		crews visiting for familiarization visits)?	N/A
		nts: LBL liase with LBF to inform them of any changes affecting the	
10.7	•	o fight fire in the building.	
19.7		in-house inspections of fire precautions (e.g. in the course of health	NI/A
		ety inspections)? nts: Residents report defects to local housing office.	N/A
20.0		g and Drills	
20.0		taff and contractors working for the landlord/managing agent given	
20.1		te fire safety instruction and training on induction?	Yes
	-	nts: None.	163
20.2		taff given adequate periodic "refresher training" at suitable	Yes
	interval		
		nts: None.	
20.3		staff training provide information, instruction or training on the	
	followir		NI/A
	a)	Fire risks in the premises?	N/A
	p)	The fire safety measures in the building?	N/A
	c)	Action on hearing the fire alarm signal?	N/A
	d)	Method of operation of manual call points?	N/A
	e)	Location and use of fire extinguishers?	N/A
	f)	Means for summoning the fire and rescue service?	N/A N/A
	g) h)	Identity of persons nominated to assist with evacuation? Identity of persons nominated to use fire extinguishing appliances?	N/A N/A
20.4	,	nts: Some items listed above are not relevant when considering risk as	
20.4		tial buildings, however, all points are covered as part of the general fir	

LBL staff.

20.5	Are staff with special responsibilities (e.g. fire wardens) given additional training? Comments: None.	N/A
20.6	Are fire drills carried out at appropriate intervals? Comments: None.	N/A
20.7	When the employees of another employer work in the premises: a) Is their employer given appropriate information (e.g. on fire risks and general fire precautions)?	N/A
	b) Is it ensured that the employees are provided with adequate instructions and information?	N/A
	Comments: Contractors carrying out work at London Borough of Lambeth pre	•
	from an approved list. They will have undergone a selection and training proc allowed to carry out work at London Borough of Lambeth premises. All contra with the requirements of the Construction (Design & Management) Regulatio	ess prior to being actors should comply ns 2007, and London
	Borough of Lambeth has a responsibility to ensure that they are fully complied.	
	The findings of this risk assessment will be shared with any person carrying ou building.	it works on the
21.0	Testing and Maintenance	
21.1	Adequate maintenance of premises?	Yes
	Comments and deficiencies observed: Appropriate records are maintained fo	
	periodic maintenance located in the building. Although individual residencies	
	part of this risk assessment, maintenance and servicing records are held for al	l gas appliances
21.2	supplied in dwellings and or common areas. Weekly testing and periodic servicing of fire detection and alarm system?	N/A
21.2	Comments and deficiencies observed: Although individual residencies are not	•
	this risk assessment, maintenance and servicing records of fire detection and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	installed in tenanted properties are kept by LBL 'Technical Services Department	nt'.
21.3	Monthly, six-monthly and annual testing routines for emergency lighting?	N/A
	Comments and deficiencies observed: None	
21.4	Annual maintenance of fire extinguishing appliances?	N/A
21.5	Comments and deficiencies observed: None. Periodic inspection of external escape staircases and gangways?	N/A
21.5	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	N/A
21.6	Six-monthly inspection and annual testing of rising mains?	N/A
	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	•
21.7	Weekly and monthly testing, six monthly inspection and annual testing of	
	fire-fighting lifts?	N/A
24.0	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	N1 / A
21.8	Weekly testing and periodic inspection of sprinkler installations? Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	N/A
21.9	Routine checks of final exit doors and/or security fastenings?	N/A
	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	,
21.10	Annual inspection and test of lightning protection system?	N/A
	Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	
21.11	Routine checks on Ventilation and Extraction System? Comments and deficiencies observed: None.	N/A
22.0	Records	
22.1	Appropriate records of:	
	a) Fire drills?	N/A
	b) Fire training?	N/A
	c) Fire alarm tests?	N/A
	d) Emergency escape lighting tests?	N/A
	e) Maintenance and testing of other fire protection systems?f) Maintenance and testing of Mechanical systems?	N/A N/A
	g) Maintenance and testing of Mechanical systems?	N/A

Comments: Systems test and training records are held centrally.

DETERMINING FIRE RISK

The risk is determined by estimating the potential severity of harm and the likelihood will occur. The matrix enables a general risk category to be estimated.

Examples of severity of harm categories:			
Slight harm	Moderate harm	Extreme harm	
Outbreak of fire unlikely to result in	Outbreak of fire could foreseeably	Significant potential for	
serious injury or death of any	result (including serious injury) of one	serious injury or death of	
occupant (other than an occupant/s	or more occupants outside the	one or more occupants.	
sleeping in the compartment in	compartment of origin, but is unlikely		
which fire occurs).	to result in multiple fatalities.		

Examples of categories of likelihood of harm:				
Categories for	Low	Moderate	High	
likelihood of harm				
Typical occurrence.	Unusually low	Normal fire hazards (e.g.	Lack of adequate	
	likelihood of fire as a	potential ignition sources) for this	controls applied to	
	result of negligible	type of occupancy, with fire	one or more	
	potential sources of	hazards generally subject to	significant fire	
	ignition.	appropriate controls (other than	hazards, such as to	
		minor shortcomings).	result in significant	
			increase in likelihood	
			of fire.	

Risk Eliminator					
	Severity of Harm				
Likelihood of harm	Slight Harm Moderate Harm Extrem				
Low	Trivial risk	Tolerable	Moderate		
Moderate	Tolerable risk	Moderate	Substantial		
High	Moderate risk	Substantial	Intolerable		

Taking into account the fire prevention measures observed at the time of this risk assessment, it is considered that the hazard from fire (likelihood of fire) at these premises is:

TOLERABLE

	Assessment Priority Ratings
Risk level	Tolerability: guidance on necessary action and timescale
Trivial	These risks are considered acceptable. No further action is necessary other than to ensure
	that the controls are maintained.
Tolerable	No additional costs are required unless they can be implemented at very cost (in terms of
	time, money and effort). Actions to further reduce these risks are assigned low priority.
	Arrangements should be made to ensure that the controls are maintained.
Moderate	Consideration should be given as to whether the risk can be lowered, where applicable, to
	a tolerable level, and preferably to an acceptable level, but the costs of additional risk
	reduction measures should be taken into account. The risk reduction measures should be
	implemented within a defined time period. Arrangements should be made to ensure that
	the controls are maintained, particularly if the risk levels are associated with harmful
	consequences.
Substantial	Substantial efforts should be made to reduce the risk. Risk reduction measures should be
	implemented urgently within a defined time period and it might be necessary to consider
	suspending or restricting the use, or to apply interim control measures, until this has been
	completed. Consideration should be made to ensure that the controls are maintained,
	particularly if the risk levels are associated with extremely harmful consequences and very
	harmful consequences.
Intolerable	These risks are unacceptable. Substantial improvements in risk controls are necessary, so
	that the risk is reduced to a tolerable level or acceptable level. The activity should be halted

	until risk controls are implemented that reduce the risk so that it is no longer very high. If it is not possible to reduce risk the activity should remain prohibited.
Advisory (A)	Where actions are indicated in the assessment that concern matters relating to individual dwellings, Lambeth Living has no legal obligation to fulfil the recommendations given as individual dwellings which consist of a single household fall outside the scope of the
	Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order, 2005.

Risk Categorisation			
Category of risk Evaluation of tolerability			
Trivial	Acceptable		
Tolerable			
Moderate	Risk should be reduced so they are at a tolerable level		
Substantial			
Intolerable	Unacceptable		

Note that, although the purpose of this section is to place the fire risk in context, the above approach to fire risk assessment is subjective and for guidance only. All hazards and deficiencies identified in this report should be addressed by implementing all recommendations contained in the following section. The risk assessment should be reviewed periodically.

ACTION PLAN

The following prioritised action plan details a concise list of all prioritised comments which have been highlighted in the risk assessment. The works highlighted are considered as requiring implementation in order to reduce fire risk or maintain it at a tolerable level for the safety of the occupants in or around the workplace/premises, for all persons frequenting the premises.

It is considered that the following recommendations should be implemented in order to reduce fire risk to, or maintain it at, the following level:

TOLERABLE

Priority Action Periods			
Priority 1	Action recommended to be completed ASAP call H&		
	team direct from site 0207-926-0063		
Priority 2	Action recommended to be completed within 1 month		
Priority 3	Action recommended to be completed within 1-2		
	months.		
Priority 4	Action recommended to be completed within 2-6		
	months.		
Priority 5	Long-term action to be taken – e.g. over a 1 to 3 year		
	period.		

Site Specific Significant Findings (INSERT REQUIRMENTS ONLY) Where multiple requirements fall within a section number (derived from the above) it is necessary to create and new row for each item and create sub reference e.g.: Section 12.4(item1),12.4(item2) etc. No Sect Requirement Priority Action by whom Sent to department

Other Significant Findings: Management, Maintenance, Policies, Procedures and Training (INSERT RECOMMENDATIONS ONLY)

Where multiple recommendations fall within a section number (derived from the above) it is necessary to create and new row for each item and create sub reference e.g.: Section 12.4(item1),12.4(item2) etc.

No	Sect No	Recommendation	Priority	Action by whom	Date action sent to department	Notes
1	7.3	Install Fire Door to external store	P5	Housing	Click here	
		cupboard.		Management	to enter a	
					date.	







